SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07) Case 4:09-cv-00622-RAS-DRBL Dover SHEET 12/17/2009 Page 1 of 7

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				DEFENDANTS				
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF 1	PRINCIPAL	L PARTIES(Place an "X" in C	One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government □ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In This	and One Box for incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				Citizen of Another State 2				
IV NATUDE OF CHI	T m (m) o b o			eign Country		- oreign ramon		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Place an "X" in One Box On TOI		FC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANK	RUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTES
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Otl 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Tother Tother Table Tother Tabor Tabor/Mgmt. Relations Tabor/Mgmt. Relations Tabor/Mgmt. Reporting Tabor/Mgmt. Reporting Tabor/Mgmt. Relations	820 Copyri 830 Patent 830 Patent 840 Traden 840 Traden 861 HIA (1 863 Black I 864 SSID T 865 RSI (40 FEDERAI 870 Taxes (awal C 157 FY RIGHTS ghts park ECURITY 395ff) Lung (923) DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI DS(g)) LTAX SUITS U.S. Plaintiff endant) Chird Party	□ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a 450 Comme □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 480 Consun □ 490 Cable/S □ 810 Selectiv Exchan □ 12 USC □ 890 Other S □ 891 Agricul □ 892 Econon □ 893 Enviro □ 894 Energy □ 895 Freedon Act □ 900Appeal of	and Banking erce ation erce Influenced and torganizations ner Credit Sat TV ve Service ies/Commodities/ ige ter Challenge to 3410 statutory Actions stural Acts nic Stabilization Act nmental Matters Allocation Act m of Information of Fee Determination Equal Access ce utionality of
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re		Remanded from [Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	stated of	ner district	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	ict 🗖 7 🖟	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI CALICE OF A CON-		tute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nal statutes un	less diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	iuse:						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	N D	EMAND \$		ECK YES only RY DEMAND:		complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

GEEP TEXAS LLC and		§		
TECHWAY SERVICES, INC.,				
		§		
	Plaintiffs	§		
		§	Case No	
V.		§		
		§	JURY	
DARIK HORN,		§		
		§		
	Defendant	§		
		§		
		8		

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

TO ALL PARTIES, THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, SHERMAN DIVISION:

COMES NOW DEFENDANT DARIK HORN ("Horn") and hereby gives notice of the removal of the above-captioned civil action from the District Court of Denton County, Texas, 367th Judicial District, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division.

- Horn is a Defendant in the above-captioned civil action, which was originally 1. filed in the District Court of Denton County, Texas, 367th Judicial District, on December 15, 2009, styled as GEEP TEXAS LLC and TECHWAY SERVICES, INC., Plaintiffs v. DARIK HORN, Defendant, under Cause No. 2009-50404-367. (Exhibit 1., Verified Petition.)
- 2. This Court has original jurisdiction of this action under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 in that at the time of the filing of the Original Petition, and up to the present, federal question jurisdiction exists as Plaintiffs have brought claims and sought a

NOTICE OF REMOVAL Page 1 of 6 declaratory judgment relating to rights arising under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq, the jurisdiction of which is exclusively federal.

- 3. Plaintiff's declaratory judgment claim "arises under" federal law as "it appears that some substantial, disputed question of federal law is a necessary element of one of [plaintiff's] well-pleaded state claims...." See Gemcraft Homes, Inc. v. Sumurdy, 688 F. Supp. 289, 291 (E.D. Tex. 1988) (citing Franchise Tax Board v. Construction Laborers Vacation Trust, 463 U.S. 1, 9-13, 103 S. Ct. 2841, 2846-48, 77 L. Ed. 2d 420 (1983)). Plaintiffs seek a declaration that "Plaintiffs have an exclusive worldwide right... to use, execute, reproduce and/or distribute copies of the Techway Version (EBAN®) developed by Horn, including the derivative works of the Techway Version and any DBAN modifications incorporated as part of the Techway Version." (Ex. 1, Verified Petition. ¶ 75.) These allegations reference several specific rights granted under federal copyright laws to the owner of a copyrighted work over which federal courts have exclusive jurisdiction. See 17 U.S.C. § 106; 28 U.S.C. § 1338. Additionally, Plaintiffs assert facts relating to Horn's status as both an independent contractor and an employee at different points in time during their transactions. (Ex. 1, Verified Petition. ¶ 20.) Thus, Plaintiffs have set forth facts relating to ownership of the copyright(s) and have requested the Court to declare it possesses ownership of rights granted by copyright laws. Plaintiffs admit that such a declaration would "resolve all or a complete part of the controversy between the parties." (Ex. 1, Verified Petition. ¶ 76.) As such, a substantial, disputed question of federal law is a substantial, disputed element in Plaintiffs' "well-pleaded complaint" and Plaintiff's claim "arises under" federal law. Therefore, federal jurisdiction exists and the action is properly removable.
- 4. Federal jurisdiction exists under the Declaratory Judgment Act if the declaratory judgment defendant could file a coercive action based in part on federal law. Halliburton Co. Benefits Comm. v. Mem'l Hermann Hosp. Sys., 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3184 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 19, 2006). As established above in paragraph 3, the issue of ownership of the copyrights for the EBAN software is at issue. It follows that Horn could file a coercive action based on the

Copyright Act for copyright infringement of the EBAN software. Thus, federal jurisdiction exists under the Declaratory Judgment Act and the action is properly removable.

- 5. Furthermore, Plaintiffs' declaratory judgment claim for a declaration that it has "an exclusive worldwide right and license to use, execute, reproduce and/or distribute copies of the Techway Version (EBAN®) developed by Horn, including the derivative works of the Techway Version and any DBAN modifications incorporated as part of the Techway Version" (Ex. 1, Verified Petition. ¶ 75) is pre-empted by federal law. A state cause of action is preempted by 17 U.S.C. § 301 if the rights at issue come within the subject matter of copyright as set forth in §§ 102 and 103, and the rights at issue are equivalent to the exclusive rights of § 106. Gemcraft Homes, 688 F. Supp. at 294. The express intent of Congress was to preempt all state causes of action for violations of the exclusive rights found in § 106 and coming within the subject matter of copyright as specified in 17 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103. Id. at 293. The Techway Version (EBAN®) of the software comes within the subject matter of copyright as set forth in §§ 102 and 103, and the rights asserted by Plaintiffs, "to use, execute, reproduce and/or distribute copies... including derivative works" is a specific reference to several rights granted under federal copyright laws to the owner of a copyrighted work. Thus, both elements of the test are satisfied and the underlying basis for Plaintiffs' claim is completely pre-empted by federal law. Consequently, federal jurisdiction exists and the action is properly removable.
- 6. Plaintiffs' breach of contract, misappropriation and use of trade secrets, breach of fiduciary duty/breach of duty of loyalty, unfair competition and conversion claims also will require the determination of the ownership of the copyright for the software and other confidential and proprietary information pursuant to federal copyright laws. Thus, it appears that these claims involve a substantial, disputed question of federal law and "arise under" federal law. Therefore, federal jurisdiction exists and the action is properly removable.
- 7. Because Plaintiffs' remaining claims form part of the same case or controversy, the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all of Plaintiffs' claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

- 8. Horn first received a copy of the Summons and Original Complaint on or about December 16, 2009 when a copy was personally delivered to him. This Notice of Removal was filed on December 17, 2009, within thirty days of receiving the Summons and Original Complaint.
 - 9. As Horn is the only named defendant, no statements of joinder are necessary.
- This Court has removal jurisdiction of this action under the provisions of 28 10. U.S.C. sections 1441(b), and 1446 (a), (b), and (d).
- 11. A jury demand was made in the state court action by Plaintiffs. (Ex. 1, Verified Petition. ¶ 84.)
- 12. Horn does not admit the propriety, sufficiency or effectiveness, in law or fact, of the purported service of the Citation and Petition. Horn expressly preserves all of these issues for future challenge.
- 13. A filing fee of \$350.00 has been tendered to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, all parties of record promptly will be served with notice of this removal and such notice will be filed with the Clerk of the District Court of Denton County, Texas, 367th Judicial District. Once the notice is filed with the state court, a certification that such filing has been made will be filed with this Court.
- Copies of all documents required to be filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) and 11. Local Rule CV-81 are attached as follows:

<u>Exhibit #</u>	Description of Exhibit
1	All Pleadings in State Court Action
2	Certified Copy of State Court Docket Sheet
3	Information Required by Local Rule CV-81

WHEREFORE, Horn respectfully gives notice, and respectfully prays, that the above action be removed from the District Court of Denton County, Texas, 367th Judicial District, in which it was filed, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman

NOTICE OF REMOVAL Page 4 of 6 Division, and prays further that this Honorable Court issue all necessary orders and process and grant such other and further relief as in law and equity it may be entitled to receive.

Dated: December 17, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

Carstens & Cahoon, LLP

/s/ Vincent J. Allen

Vincent J. Allen Texas State Bar No. 24012209 Celina M. Diaz Texas State Bar No. 24044933 Amanda K. Jenkins Texas State Bar No. 24054550 13760 Noel Road, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75240

Telephone: 972-367-2001 Facsimile: 972-367-2002

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,

DARIK HORN

NOTICE OF REMOVAL Page 5 of 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served on December 17, 2009 by first class U.S. mail on the following attorneys of record:

Dawn Estes

Texas Bar No. 14251350

Laurie Martin

Texas Bar No. 24002442 Taber Estes Thorne & Carr

3500 Maple Avenue, Suite 1340

Dallas, Texas 75219

Telephone: (214) 526-2107 Telecopier: (214) 526-2128 Matthew K. Davis

Texas Bar No. 05526000 Alexander M. Parker Texas Bar No. 00707203

Jones & Davis LLP

15851 Dallas Parkway, Suite 1220

Dallas, Texas 75001

Telephone: (972) 733-3117 Telecopier: (972) 733-3119

/s/ Vincent J. Allen

Vincent J. Allen

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Page 6 of 6